



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/694,067	10/27/2003	Harry R. Brutsche III	BRUT 2795000	3824
21909	7590 05/12/2005		EXAMINER	
CARR LAW FIRM, L.L.P. 670 FOUNDERS SQUARE			NGUYEN, TRINH T	
900 JACKSOI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX	75202		3644	
			DATE MAILED: 05/12/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/694,067	BRUTSCHE ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Trinh T Nguyen	3644		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of this od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	7 October 2003.			
	his action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	· ·	·	is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex рапе Quayle, 1935 С.L	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with description 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 are subject to restriction and/or	Irawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a	ccepted or b) Objected to	by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to t		, ,		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	· · · · ·	(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	opplication No received in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)	_			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 		

Application/Control Number: 10/694,067 Page 2

Art Unit: 3644

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

2. <u>Within each of the planter system</u>, there is lack of unity between the following patentably distinct species:

Elect from one of these distinct Species:

Species 1: The embodiment of the planter system of Figs. 1A and 1B.

Species 2: The embodiment of the planter system of Figs. 2A and 2B.

Species 3: The embodiment of the planter system of Fig. 8.

3. <u>Within each of the planter</u>, there is lack of unity between the following patentably distinct species:

Elect from one of these distinct Species:

Species 11: The embodiment of the planter of Figs. 4A and 4B.

Species 22: The embodiment of the planter of Figs. 5A and 5B.

Species 33: The embodiment of the planter of Fig. 7.

4. <u>Within each of the tray</u>, there is lack of unity between the following patentably distinct species:

Elect from one of these distinct Species:

Species A: The embodiment of the tray of Figs. 1A and 1B.

Species B: The embodiment of the tray of Figs. 2A and 2B.

Species C: The embodiment of the tray of Figs. 3A-3E.

Application/Control Number: 10/694,067

Art Unit: 3644

5. Within each of the drainage tube system, there is lack of unity between the following

patentably distinct species:

Elect from one of these distinct Species:

Species AA: The embodiment of the <u>drainage tube system</u> of Figs. 6A-6C.

Species BB: The embodiment of the drainage tube system of Figs. 9A and 9B.

6. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally

held to be allowable. Currently, it appears that there is no generic claim.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that

all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/694,067 Page 4

Art Unit: 3644

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trinh T Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-9082. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 A.M to 6:00 P.M).

The examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on (703) 305-7421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Trinh T Nguyen Patent Ex. Art Unit 3644

5/9/05